

## Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 STATE 183904

61

ORIGIN L-03

INFO OCT-01 EUR-25 NEA-10 ISO-00 IO-13 CAB-09 DOTE-00

FAA-00 CIAE-00 COME-00 DODE-00 EB-11 INR-10 NSAE-00

RSC-01 INRE-00 SSO-00 SS-15 NSC-10 NSCE-00 USIE-00

PA-03 PRS-01 H-03 /115 R

DRAFTED BY L/EB:FKWILLIS:LR

9/14/73 EXT 23970

APPROVED BY L:GHALDRICH

IO/TRC-MR. GRIP

CAB: GRINGERY (SUB)

DOT: DRISCOLL (SUB);

FAA: STEWART (SUB)

L/UNA:SNELSON

----- 099165

O R 142344Z SEP 73

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO AMEMBASSY ROME IMMEDIATE

INFO AMEMBASSY TEL AVIV

AMCONSUL MONTREAL

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY PARIS

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE STATE 183904

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: ICAO, ETRN

SUBJECT: ICAO AIR SECURITY CONFERENCE: CHICAGO  
AMENDMENT SANCTIONS PROPOSALS.

REFS: (A) ROME 9615; (B) ROME 9353

1. DEPARTMENT FEELS DEL POSITION DESCRIBED PARA 6 REFTEL  
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 STATE 183904

(A) MAY BE UNDULY NEGATIVE. WHILE SANCTIONS IN AN

AMENDMENT TO CHICAGO CONVENTION CANNOT BE DESCRIBED AS A MAJOR ACCOMPLISHMENT, WE BELIEVE IT SHOULD BE VIEWED AS A STEP, THOUGH SMALL, IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION WITH REGARD TO A SUBJECT US HAS ADVOCATED FOR 3 YEARS AND MET CONSIDERABLE RESISTANCE TO UNTIL RECENTLY. WE HAVE ACCEPTED THAT INDEPENDENT CONVENTION MAY HAVE NO MACHINERY AT ALL FOR SANCTIONS; IT SEEMS TO US THAT EVEN LIMITED SANCTION MACHINERY IN CHICAGO AMENDMENT WOULD BE A STEP BEYOND THIS.

2. DEPARTMENT BELIEVES UK/SWISS PROPOSAL SHOULD, THEREFORE, BE SUPPORTED EVEN IN EVENT OF ADVERSE DECISION ON ARTICLE 86. AND IF PROPOSED ARTICLE 86 IS TO FAIL DEL SHOULD EXPLORE POSSIBLE INTERMEDIATE POSITIONS REGARDING SUSPENSION OF SANCTIONS PENDING ICJ APPEAL. WE ARE THINKING, IN PARTICULAR, OF PROVISION FOR IMPOSITION OF SANCTIONS PENDING ICJ DETERMINATION UNLESS ICJ INDICATES, IN ACCORDANCE WITH ARTICLE 41 OF ICJ STATUTE, THAT SANCTIONS SHOULD BE SUSPENDED AS PROVISIONAL MEASURE TO PROTECT RIGHTS OF A PARTY. (SUCH PROVISIONAL MEASURE CAN BE TAKEN BY KCJ ALMOST IMMEDIATELY UPON APPEAL BEING MADE TO IT.) WHILE IT IS NOT CLEAR WHETHER SUCH PROVISIONAL MEASURES ARE BINDING, THEY COULD BE MADE SO WITH APPROPRIATE PROVISION IN ART. 86 FOR PARTIES TO THE AMENDMENT. WE SEE FIRST FALL-BACK AS PROVISION THAT SANCTION APPLIES UNTIL KCJ FINAL DECISION UNLESS ICJ DECIDES AS PROVISIONAL MEASURE THAT IT SHALL NOT, AND SECOND FALL-BACK AS PROVISION THAT SANCTION DOES NOT APPLY UNLESS ICJ DECIDES IT SHOULD (ALTHOUGH LATTER WOULD BE MORE DIFFICULT FOR COURT TO DO SINCE IT HARD TO ARGUE PROVISIONAL APPLICATION OF SANCTIONS NECESSARY "TO PRESERVE THE RESPECTIVE RIGHTS OF EITHER PARTY.")

3. IN EVENT UK/SWISS PROPOSAL LOSES, DEL SHOULD EXPLORE AVENUES TO TOUGHEN UP QUTAR PROPOSAL AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE, AND BE PREPARED TO SUPPORT IT EVEN IF THESE EFFORTS FAIL. WE THINK IN PARTICULAR THAT A HELPFUL AMENDMENT TO IT WOULD BE PROVISIONS TO EXPEDITE CONVENING OF ASSEMBLY FOR SANCTIONS DECISIONS.

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 STATE 183904

4. WE AGREE SUDAN PROPOSAL IS VERY RETROGRADE STEP AND SHOULD BE OPPOSED. ANY PROPOSAL PUTTING DECISIONS ON CHICAGO CONVENTION VIOLATIONS AND DECISION ON SANCTIONS TOGETHER WHOLLY IN HANDS OF ASSEMBLY SHOULD BE OPPOSED. IT APPEARS TO US IMPRACTICAL AND UNSOUND POLICY TO PUT FACT-FINDING AND QUASI-JUDICIAL FUNCTIONS OF DETERMINING CONVENTION VIOLATIONS IN HANDS OF ASSEMBLY.

5. ABOVE INSTRUCTIONS REFLECT POSITION DEL HAS  
REPORTED PREVIOUSLY (REFTEL B PARA 5F) TO CONTINUE EFFORT  
FOR A STRONG AMENDMENT ON ITS OWN MERITS. DEL MAY ALTER  
THEM IN ITS DISCRETION IN ORDER TO ACHIEVE US BASIC  
OBJECTIVES FOR INDEPENDENT CONVENTION. RUSH

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** DIPLOMATIC RELATIONS INTERRUPTION, ANTIHIJACKING PROCEDURES, MEETINGS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 14 SEP 1973  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** collinp0  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1973STATE183904  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** FKWILLIS:LR  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** N/A  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** n/a  
**From:** STATE  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1973/newtext/t19730933/aaaaaxsz.tel  
**Line Count:** 120  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE  
**Office:** ORIGIN L  
**Original Classification:** LIMITED OFFICIAL USE  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** LIMITED OFFICIAL USE  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** (A) ROME 9615; (B) ROME 9353  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** collinp0  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 20 SEP 2001  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <20-Sep-2001 by rowellE0>; APPROVED <28 FEB 2002 by collinp0>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** ICAO AIR SECURITY CONFERENCE: CHICAGO AMENDMENT SANCTIONS PROPOSALS.  
**TAGS:** ETRN, ICAO  
**To:** ROME  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005